## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	)	Case No. 4:23-Mj-70	144-MAG		
Plaintiff, v.	)	STIPULATED ORDER UNDER THE SPEEDY	TRIAL ACT	L, E	D
Defendant(.	s). )	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		23 2023	
For the reasons stated by the particular from 00 23 23 continuance outweigh the best into 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes the state of the court makes	to 00 29 29 29 crest of the public and the	and finds that the ends of e defendant in a speedy tr	cludes time under the f justice served by the rial. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. §	TSIGLEOTY CAL	DURT IFORNIA
Failure to grant a c See 18 U.S.C. § 31		ly to result in a miscarria	ge of justice.		
defendants, or law, that it is un	the nature of the prosecut reasonable to expect adec	icheck applicable reason ion, or the existent quate preparation for prethis section. See 18 U.S.C.	nce of novel questions rial proceedings or the	of fact	
	•	ne defendant reasonable tence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 31		,	
	eduled case commitment	onably deny the defendars, taking into account the			
	tive preparation, taking in	onably deny the defendar nto account the exercise of			
disposition of crim paragraph and — b the time limits for a extending the 30-ds	inal cases, the court sets the ased on the parties' show a preliminary hearing unday time period for an indicate.	ig into account the public the preliminary hearing to ving of good cause — fin- der Federal Rule of Crimi ettment under the Speedy m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 310	o the date set forth in t ds good cause for exte and Procedure 5.1 and Trial Act (based on the	the first ending for	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		Ann			
DATED: 00 23 23	120 11	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate	e Judge		- 8
STIPULATED: Attorney	for Defendant	Assistant United States	Attorney		